My

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/494,158	KOVACS, ERNO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond B. Persino	2682
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>an RCE filed 1/5/2005</u> .		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,2,4-13 and 15-22</u> .		
3. A The drawings filed on 28 January 2000 are accepted by the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4.</li></ul>		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendn	

\_ #.

## **EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1, 2, 4-13 and 15-22 are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The applicant's inventions of claims 1 and 12 are geared toward a mobile agent system for a communication unit of a communication system. The mobile agent of claim 1 includes at least one mobile agent; a migration control means for controlling the migration behavior based on the current migration policy that defines the way the mobile agent system checks current network parameters; and a policy control means operating to overrule the selected current migration policy with a user command when the user command defining the current migration policy is received. The closest prior art is WEISER (see rejections based upon WEISER in prior office actions). Although WEISER discusses "room-level policies" and "internal policies", and these "policies" acting as filters to enforce the rules within the "policies", Weiser fails to teach or suggest a mobile agent system including at least one mobile agent; a migration control means for controlling the migration behavior based on the current migration policy that defines the way the mobile agent system checks current network parameters; and a policy control means operating to overrule the selected current migration policy with a user command when the user command defining the current migration policy is received. It is further noted that the applicant's claim 1 is not rendered obvious or anticipated by the document cited by applicant on 3/26/2004 entitled "An Intelligent Mobile Agent

Framework for Distributed Network Management." While this prior art does include some of the subject matter contained in the applicant's claim 1, at the least it does not include the subject matter of a policy control means operating to overrule the selected current migration policy with a user command when the user command defining the current migration policy is received. Furthermore, no other prior art as been discovered that would anticipate or render obvious the claims. Therefore, the applicant's invention of claim 1, when considered as a whole, is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art. Lastly, since independent claim 12 closely parallels, and includes substantially similar limitations as, independent claim 1, claim 12 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond B. Persino whose telephone number is (703) 308-7528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond B. Persino

Examiner

Art Unit 2682

RP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600